



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,846	07/03/2003	Dung-Chang Yeh	JLINP160	6918

25920 7590 04/15/2005

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

LE, DANG D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/612,846	Applicant(s) YEH ET AL.	
	Examiner Dang D. Le	Art Unit 2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dang Le. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Albert Penilla. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Teshima et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed to amend the claims and file an RCE. The examiner suggested to amend claim 1 to identify the first surface as the circumferential surface in order to at least overcome the Teshima et al. reference. However, the applicant should also need to amend the claims such that they overcome the 103(a) rejection in the last office action. The applicant proposed to send the examiner a draft amendment before filing an official response.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DANG LE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/11/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required